

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 1921 OF 2006

Sumer Corporation and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and anr. .. Respondents

Mr. A.Y.,. Sakhare, Sr. Counsel i/by Yasmin
Bhansali & Co. for Petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Shastri, A.G.P. for Respondents.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.**

DATED: AUGUST 22, 2006

P.C.:

. For the order to be passed, it is not necessary to set out the facts in detail. In so far as show cause notice is concerned, the Petitioner to file reply before Respondent No. 2. The Respondent No. 2 to consider the reply and after hearing the Petitioners to pass appropriate orders according to law.

2. In so far as machinery which had been attached is concerned, the Petitioners are directed to file undertaking before this court that they will not carry out any mining operations above the green line in terms of the Exh. I to the reply filed by Respondents.

3. On so doing, the respondent to reseal the

machinery and hand over possession to the Petitioners on the Petitioners informing the Respondent of the undertaking filed and handing over a copy of such undertaking.

. With the above observations, Petition disposed of.

(ANOO P V. MOHTA, J.)

(F.I.REBELLO, J.)